

In the United States Court of Federal Claims
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS
No. 22-0599V

ELIZABETH BACON,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: October 9, 2024

*Catherine Wallace Costigan, Maglio Christopher & Toale, PA, Washington, DC, for
Petitioner.*

Mark Kim Hellie, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

DECISION ON ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS¹

On June 1, 2022, Elizabeth Bacon filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*² (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleged that she suffered a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration resulting from influenza and tetanus diphtheria acellular pertussis vaccines received on November 11, 2020. Petition, ECF No. 1. On May 30, 2024, I issued a decision awarding compensation to Petitioner based on the parties' stipulation. ECF No. 51.

¹Because this Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action taken in this case, it must be made publicly accessible and will be posted on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, and/or at <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/uscourts/national/cofc>, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2018) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2018).

Petitioner has now filed a motion for attorney's fees and costs, requesting an award of \$59,426.10 (representing \$56,417.00 in fees plus \$3,009.10 in costs). Application for Attorneys' Fees and Costs ("Motion") filed June 24, 2024, ECF No. 55. Furthermore, Petitioner represents that Petitioner incurred no personal out-of-pocket expenses. ECF No. 55-4.

Respondent reacted to the motion on July 8, 2024, indicating that he is satisfied the statutory requirements for an award of attorneys' fees and costs are met in this case but deferring resolution of the amount to be awarded to my discretion. Motion at 2-3, ECF No. 57. Petitioner filed a reply reiterating her request for fees and costs as indicated in the Motion. ECF No. 58. Thereafter, Petitioner filed an amended Motion indicating that Petitioner's counsel's office has relocated. ECF No. 59.

I have reviewed the billing records submitted with Petitioner's request. In my experience, the request appears reasonable, and I find no cause to reduce the requested hours or rates. Furthermore, Petitioner has provided supporting documentation for all claimed costs. ECF No. 55-3. Respondent offered no specific objection to the rates or amounts sought. I find the requested costs reasonable and hereby award them in full.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs for successful claimants. Section 15(e). Accordingly, I hereby GRANT Petitioner's Motion for attorney's fees and costs. **I award a total of \$59,426.10 (representing \$56,417.00 in fees plus \$3,009.10 in costs) as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to Petitioner and Petitioner's counsel, Maglio Christopher and Toale Law. Per Petitioner's request, the check is to be forwarded to 1515 Ringling Blvd., Suite 700, Sarasota, FL 34236.** In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review (see Appendix B to the Rules of the Court), the Clerk of Court shall enter judgment in accordance with this decision.³

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran
 Brian H. Corcoran
 Chief Special Master

³ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing a joint notice renouncing their right to seek review.